

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box M50
Advandria, Mugnia 22313-1450
www.sspio.gov

			V	·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,812	12/27/2001	Eric J. Sprunk		7975	
20350	7590 08/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			HOFFMAN, BRANDON S		
TWO EMBA	RCADERO CENTER			DA DED AND ADED	
EIGHTH FL	OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2136		
			DATE MAIL ED. 09/14/200	DATE MAILED, 09/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/049,812	SPRUNK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Brandon S. Hoffman	2136	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completellowing time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on a been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 July 2006</u> . A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismi	ssal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further conditions. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belong) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Control of Non-Contr		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 10-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	•		ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation of 11 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Lewis does not teach encrypting the first key with a second key, wherein the length of the second key is longer than the first key. Examiner would like to point to column 9, lines 33-67 of Lewis. This passage states that the first key is encyrpted by a second key and the second key is longer in length than the first key.

NASSER MOAZZAMI PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/8/06